Watershed Report

Upper White. Indiana.

Land Use

	Total (Ac.)	Crops (Ac.)	% of Total	Forest (Ac.)	% of Total	Water/Wetland (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Urban (Ac.)	% of Total	No Data (Ac.)	% of Total
Boone	74,129	42,422	2.41	5,116	0.29	363	0.02	1,542	0.09	5,159	0.29	53	0.00
Brown	5,368	90	0.01	3,590	0.20	87	0.00	26	0.00	96	0.01	0	0.00
Clinton	1,565	1,355	0.08	21	0.00	7	0.00	2	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Delaware	176,720	106,097	6.02	9,994	0.57	1,544	0.09	501	0.03	13,799	0.78	298	0.02
<u>Hamilton</u>	257,347	124,826	7.09	10,152	0.58	5,125	0.29	2,922	0.17	37,831	2.15	924	0.05
<u>Hancock</u>	25,287	16,886	0.96	401	0.02	15	0.00	27	0.00	1,549	0.09	113	0.01
Hendricks	140,920	58,333	3.31	10,296	0.58	1,269	0.07	3,364	0.19	19,510	1.11	161	0.01
Henry	65,205	43,636	2.48	4,708	0.27	73	0.00	332	0.02	865	0.05	55	0.00
<u>Johnson</u>	82,863	32,361	1.84	8,291	0.47	883	0.05	1,038	0.06	9,332	0.53	133	0.01
Madison	274,927	181,091	10.28	11,376	0.65	515	0.03	218	0.01	19,368	1.10	673	0.04
Marion	230,361	10,975	0.62	9,588	0.54	6,655	0.38	650	0.04	125,988	7.15	431	0.02
<u>Monroe</u>	11,388	614	0.03	7,511	0.43	84	0.00	135	0.01	186	0.01	0	0.00
<u>Morgan</u>	219,478	57,484	3.26	69,345	3.94	5,052	0.29	3,942	0.22	16,097	0.91	217	0.01
Owen	11,547	5,537	0.31	2,362	0.13	102	0.01	386	0.02	311	0.02	1	0.00
Randolph	106,067	75,698	4.30	3,341	0.19	48	0.00	3	0.00	1,129	0.06	22	0.00
<u>Tipton</u>	78,188	65,675	3.73	1,248	0.07	46	0.00	425	0.02	785	0.04	81	0.00
Totals	1,761,362	823,082	46.73	157,342	8.93	21,867	1.24	15,514	0.88	252,006	14.31	3,162	0.18

Ac. = Acres % = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet # = Number

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, https://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm) % Crop = Sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, other small grains, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed. % Pasture/Hay = Sum of the acres of pasture, hay, and idle land divided by the total acres in the watershed. % Forest = Sum of the acres of forest land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

 [%] Urban = Sum of the acres of residential and urban land divided by the total acres in the watershed.
 % Water/Wetland = Sum of the acres of streams, lakes, ponds, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.
 % Data Not Available = Sum of the acres of clouds on arial photographs divided by the total acres in the watershed.

Public Lands									
	Public Lands (Ac.)	% of Total							
<u>Boone</u>	61	0.00							
Brown	1,416	0.08							
Clinton	0	0.00							
Delaware	40	0.00							
<u>Hamilton</u>	381	0.02							
<u>Hancock</u>	0	0.00							
Hendricks	143	0.01							
<u>Henry</u>	312	0.02							
<u>Johnson</u>	0	0.00							
Madison	548	0.03							
Marion	13,025	0.74							
Monroe	6,448	0.37							
Morgan	9,259	0.53							
Owen	0	0.00							
Randolph	0	0.00							
<u>Tipton</u>	37	0.00							
Totals	31,670	1.80							

Data Source = Indiana Department of Natural Resources (State-Managed Lands), 2004; Hoosier National Forest - U.S. Forest Service, 2004 and Patoka River USFWS, 2003 (Federal-Managed Lands)

% Public = Sum of the acres of federal, state, and local government land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

Ac. = Acres % = Percent

AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet # = Number Mi. = Miles

T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

	Cropland Types											
											Pasture/	
	Crop (Ac.)	% of Total	Corn (Ac.)				Other (Ac.)	% of Total			Grass (Ac.)	% of Total
<u>Boone</u>	42,422	2.41	19,970	1.13	828	0.05	823	0.05	1,542	0.09	20,645	1.17
<u>Brown</u>	90	0.01	19	0.00	9	0.00	20	0.00	26	0.00	1,493	0.08
Clinton	1,355	0.08	711	0.04	3	0.00	2	0.00	2	0.00	170	0.01
<u>Delaware</u>	106,097	6.02	44,311	2.52	1,481	0.08	511	0.03	501	0.03	43,330	2.46
<u>Hamilton</u>	124,826	7.09	57,884	3.29	3,276	0.19	2,916	0.17	2,922	0.17	77,038	4.37
<u>Hancock</u>	16,886	0.96	6,815	0.39	793	0.05	65	0.00	27	0.00	5,934	0.34
<u>Hendricks</u>	58,333	3.31	27,287	1.55	2,066	0.12	1,978	0.11	3,364	0.19	50,111	2.85
<u>Henry</u>	43,636	2.48	17,621	1.00	1,109	0.06	411	0.02	332	0.02	14,995	0.85
<u>Johnson</u>	32,361	1.84	15,759	0.89	859	0.05	769	0.04	1,038	0.06	31,232	1.77
<u>Madison</u>	181,091	10.28	76,767	4.36	4,938	0.28	1,095	0.06	218	0.01	59,651	3.39
<u>Marion</u>	10,975	0.62	4,293	0.24	1,396	0.08	428	0.02	650	0.04	75,985	4.31
<u>Monroe</u>	614	0.03	162	0.01	24	0.00	105	0.01	135	0.01	2,974	0.17
<u>Morgan</u>	57,484	3.26	31,165	1.77	1,648	0.09	2,801	0.16	3,942	0.22	69,695	3.96
<u>Owen</u>	5,537	0.31	2,995	0.17	195	0.01	111	0.01	386	0.02	3,120	0.18
<u>Randolph</u>	75,698	4.30	32,185	1.83	1,639	0.09	391	0.02	3	0.00	25,441	1.44
<u>Tipton</u>	65,675	3.73	34,092	1.94	974	0.06	286	0.02	425	0.02	10,054	0.57
Totals	823.082	46.73	372.035	21.12	21.236	1.21	12.713	0.72	15.514	0.88	491.868	27.93

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm)

- % Corn = Acres of corn divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

 % Beans = Acres of soybeans + double-crop soybeans/wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.
- Wheat = Acres of wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.
 Other Row Crop = Difference of the sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, hay, and pasture minus total cropland acres in the watershed divided by total crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.
- % Hay = Acres of hay divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.
- % Pasture = Acres of pasture divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

	Beef and Swine Processing										
	Beef Plants	Beef Animals	Swine Plants	Swine Animals							
<u>Boone</u>	0	0	0	0							
<u>Brown</u>	0	0	0	0							
Clinton	0	0	0	0							
<u>Delaware</u>	0	0	0	0							
<u>Hamilton</u>	1	275	1	318							
<u>Hancock</u>	0	0	0	0							
<u>Hendricks</u>	0	0	0	0							
<u>Henry</u>	1	315	1	372							
<u>Johnson</u>	1	282	1	631							
<u>Madison</u>	0	0	0	0							
Marion	0	0	0	0							
<u>Monroe</u>	0	0	0	0							
<u>Morgan</u>	1	452	1	340							
<u>Owen</u>	0	0	0	0							
Randolph	2	1,087	2	1,183							
<u>Tipton</u>	0	0	0	0							
Totals	6	2,411	6	2,844							

Data Source = Indiana Board of Animal Health, 2006 (Slaughter Processing), http://www.in.gov/boah/food_safety/inspection/meat_poulty.html

Ac. = Acres % = Percent

AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet # = Number Mi. = Miles

T & E = Threatened and Endangered
CFO = Confined Feeding Operation
CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

	Confined Livestock 2006											
	CAFO/CFO	Da	airy		eef	s	wine		ultry	She	ер	
		Farms	Animals									
<u>Boone</u>	5	0	0	1	15	4	6,377	1	18,000	0	0	
<u>Brown</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Delaware</u>	7	0	0	0	0	7	10,794	0	0	0	0	
<u>Hamilton</u>	13	0	0	1	50	12	22,931	0	0	0	0	
<u>Hancock</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Hendricks</u>	2	0	0	0	0	2	6,307	0	0	0	0	
<u>Henry</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Johnson</u>	1	0	0	0	0	1	646	0	0	0	0	
<u>Madison</u>	13	2	6,616	3	1,205	9	22,747	0	0	0	0	
<u>Marion</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Monroe</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Morgan</u>	2	0	0	0	0	2	2,203	0	0	0	0	
<u>Owen</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Randolph</u>	12	2	1,800	0	0	10	83,758	0	0	0	0	
<u>Tipton</u>	11	0	0	2	800	10	28,891	1	480,254	0	0	
Totals	66	4	8,416	7	2,070	57	184,654	2	498,254	0	0	

Data Source = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Land Quality, 2007, http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html
Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) = (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency definition) Operations with at least one of the following: 200 dairy cows; 300 veal calves; 300 ob beef cattle; 750 swine 55 pounds or more; 3000 swine under 55 pounds or more; 3000 sheep or lambs; 16,500 turkeys; 9000 chickens (liquid manure); 25,000 chickens - laying hens (not liquid manure); a7,500 chickens - not laying hens (not liquid manure); 1,500 ducks (liquid manure); or 10,000 ducks (not liquid manure).
Confined Feeding Operation (CFO) = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine or sheep; or 30,000 poultry.

	Biofuel Pla	nts
	Ethanol	Biodiesel
<u>Boone</u>	0	0
Brown	0	0
Clinton	0	0
<u>Delaware</u>	0	0
<u>Hamilton</u>	0	0
<u>Hancock</u>	0	0
<u>Hendricks</u>	0	0
<u>Henry</u>	0	0
<u>Johnson</u>	0	0
Madison	0	0
<u>Marion</u>	0	0
<u>Monroe</u>	0	0
<u>Morgan</u>	0	0
<u>Owen</u>	0	0
Randolph Randolph	1	0
Tipton	1	0
Totals	2	0

Data Source = Indiana Department of Transportation, 2006 (Biofuels Processing),

http://www.in.gov/isda/biofuels/

Surface	and	Groundwater	Pasaurca (Concern Areas
Sullace	allu	Giouiiuwatei	RESOUICE 1	LUIILEI II AI EAS

	Townshield		Mr-1115	Wt	
	Impaired Streams (Mi.)	Impaired Lakes (Ac.)	Wellhead Protection (Ac.)	Karst (Ac.)	% Karst
Boone	86.15	0	2,813	0	0.00
Brown	0.00	0	0	0	0.00
Clinton	1.51	0	0	0	0.00
Delaware	125.36	0	10,331	0	0.00
Hamilton	144.81	2,291	28,142	0	0.00
Hancock	0.00	0	270	0	0.00
<u>Hendricks</u>	76.52	0	8,278	0	0.00
Henry	64.66	0	1,419	0	0.00
<u>Johnson</u>	21.57	0	6,003	0	0.00
Madison	148.26	0	16,328	0	0.00
<u>Marion</u>	79.76	2,157	24,954	0	0.00
Monroe	0.00	0	221	2,336	0.13
Morgan	38.92	0	6,164	0	0.00
Owen	0.00	0	264	4,379	0.25
Randolph	71.03	0	3,645	0	0.00
Tipton	54.82	0	1,774	0	0.00
Totals	913.38	4,449	110,609	6,715	0.38

Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management 303(d) List,

http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html

303(d)-listed streams = are impaired waterbodies that have been identified by IDEM as exceeding threshold limits of specific

Data Source (Wellhead Protection Areas) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/swp/whpp/>

Data Source (Karst) = Karst Data, 2002, Indiana NRCS, data unpublished

% = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet # = Number

Ac. = Acres

Soils-Based Resource Concerns and Analyses

		Hydric (Ac.)	%	Leaching Index >= 10 (Ac.)	%	Subsurface Drainage= H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Wind) >500 (Ac.)		Potential for Frequent Flooding (Ac.)	%	Surface Runoff Class =H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Water) >37 (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential Between 1T & 2T (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential >=2 (Ac.)	%
	Boone	24,526	1.39	31,717	1.80	0	0.00	0	0.00	777	0.04	13,128	0.75	3,954	0.22	1,166	0.07	662	0.04
	Brown	0	0.00	1,861	0.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	29	0.00	3,842	0.22	5,033	0.29	540	0.03	3,167	0.18
	Clinton	1,079	0.06	0	0.00	1,529	0.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	20	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
	<u>Delaware</u>	55,405	3.15	201	0.01	3,151	0.18	863	0.05	7,207	0.41	29,422	1.67	6,231	0.35	2,858	0.16	201	0.01
	<u>Hamilton</u>	82,455	4.68	4,391	0.25	183,182	10.40	367	0.02	12,739	0.72	42,059	2.39	13,026	0.74	2,394	0.14	2,114	0.12
	Hancock	12,191	0.69	12,293	0.70	23,146	1.31	149	0.01	271	0.02	249	0.01	285	0.02	33	0.00	0	0.00
	Hendricks	37,666	2.14	54,629	3.10	102,204	5.80	0	0.00	12,937	0.73	30,067	1.71	17,142	0.97	1,744	0.10	3,270	0.19
	Henry	19,556	1.11	22,430	1.27	38,690	2.20	576	0.03	0	0.00	20,443	1.16	6,281	0.36	4,848	0.28	1,113	0.06
	<u>lohnson</u>	10,659	0.61	29,706	1.69	41,880	2.38	0	0.00	8,865	0.50	24,552	1.39	17,032	0.97	4,127	0.23	4,561	0.26
	Madison 1	104,736	5.95	1,329	0.08	210,738	11.96	1,640	0.09	7,204	0.41	37,362	2.12	13,823	0.78	4,358	0.25	1,313	0.07
	<u>Marion</u>	32,458	1.84	84,887	4.82	112,184	6.37	0	0.00	23,231	1.32	50,484	2.87	16,720	0.95	4,728	0.27	1,868	0.11
	Monroe	62	0.00	4,184	0.24	0	0.00	0	0.00	724	0.04	6,957	0.39	9,956	0.57	4	0.00	6,707	0.38
	<u>Morgan</u>	7,402	0.42	136,814	7.77	52,907	3.00	0	0.00	44,092	2.50	64,568	3.67	111,095	6.31	25,842	1.47	36,449	2.07
	<u>Owen</u>	272	0.02	8,275	0.47	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,197	0.07	2,005	0.11	8,057	0.46	486	0.03	1,825	0.10
	Randolph	33,893	1.92	4,216	0.24	58,691	3.33	321	0.02	6,288	0.36	36,775	2.09	6,326	0.36	3,153	0.18	462	0.03
	<u> Tipton</u>	46,218	2.62	0	0.00	71,387	4.05	164	0.01	0	0.00	2,751	0.16	171	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00
ľ	Totals 4	168,578	26.60	396,933	22.54	899,689	51.08	4,080	0.23	125,561	7.13	364,684	20.70	235,132	13.35	56,281	3.20	63,712	3.62

Data Source (Hydric Soils) = NRCS Soil Data Mart (2007) - http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Data Source (Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, nd the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Version 2 (RUSLE2). Erosion potential is based on the RUSLE2 calculation for the soil with a "C" Factor equal to that of a typical cropland management system used in Indiana (no-till soybeans, followed by chisel-plowed corn with an injected anhydrous application). Soils under this management system between 1 and 2 times of tolerable limits are eroding above sustainable levels; soils under this management systems that leave more residue on the surface, those with less soil disturbance, crop rotations with higher-residue crops, etc. will decrease soil erosion compared to those under the typical cropland system. Management systems that leave less residue, disturb the soil more, and those with crop rotation with lower-residue crops may increase soil erosion above the typical cropland system.

Data Source (Leach Index, Wind Erosion, Water Erosion, Flood Potential, and Surface and Subsurface Drainage) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, https://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the NRCS Indiana Nutrient Management (590) Standard (Section IV of the Indiana Electronic Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG)) https://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/efotg-locator.aspx?map=IN. NOTE: Because climatic and other data elements may be country-based, threshold values may differ among adjacent counties and result in about data thresholds.

Hydric soils = Characterized by, relating to, or requiring an abundance of water, hydric soils are indicators of wetlands, which represent unique management considerations including groundwater impacts, crop production limitations, wildlife considerations etc.

Leach Index = soils with a relatively high risk of water percolating below the crop root zone; developed using annual precipitation, rainfall distribution data and hydrologic soil groups. **Subsurface Drainage** = soils with a relatively high risk of having subsurface drainage; determined from a matrix based on soil drainage class and depth to seasonal high water, and the presence of artificial subsurface drainage and surface tile inlets.

Soil Erosion (Wind) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by wind; determined from a location's C (Climate) Factor and a soil's Soil Erodibility Index (I).

Flooding Potential = soils with a relatively frequent risk of being covered by flowing water from any source; determined from the NRCS soil survey.

Surface Runoff Class = soils with a relatively high relative risk of soil solution movement from the surface of a management unit; determined using soil permeability and percent slope.

Soil Erosion (Water) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by water; determined from a location's R (Rainfall-Runoff Erosivity) Factor, and a soil's K (Soil Erodibility) and LS (Length-Slope) factors.

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number

			1	Water Re	esources				
	Standing Water (Ac.)	Streams (Mi.)	1st Order (Mi.)	2nd Order (Mi.)	3rd Order (Mi.)	4th Order (Mi.)	5th Order (Mi.)	6th+ Order (Mi.)	Stream Order Unavailable (Mi.)
<u>Boone</u>	101	104.20	70.09	8.47	14.05	7.54	0.00	0.00	4.04
<u>Brown</u>	21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clinton	0	2.54	2.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u>Delaware</u>	1,470	153.68	84.98	34.79	4.31	29.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u>Hamilton</u>	2,626	317.52	177.68	64.75	31.18	24.00	9.22	0.00	10.70
<u>Hancock</u>	4	12.48	12.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u>Hendricks</u>	241	135.80	71.19	45.85	18.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Henry	59	64.66	49.06	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.84
<u>Johnson</u>	371	92.58	73.34	12.24	0.00	0.00	5.27	0.00	1.73
Madison	361	217.64	112.91	79.33	0.70	22.14	0.00	0.00	2.54
Marion	3,997	229.49	102.50	43.09	19.77	19.10	31.26	0.00	13.77
<u>Monroe</u>	9	6.90	5.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03	0.00	0.00
<u>Morgan</u>	1,317	253.66	132.60	64.20	15.86	0.29	39.30	0.00	1.43
<u>Owen</u>	0	12.45	9.18	1.26	0.00	0.00	2.01	0.00	0.00
Randolph	116	113.65	67.69	20.63	24.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44
<u>Tipton</u>	0	89.98	63.65	14.15	11.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.59
Totals	10,695	1,807.22	1,035.76	400.52	141.12	102.66	88.09	0.00	39.09

Data Source = National Hydrography Data - U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/

Stream Order = A hierarchal stream classification system. The confluence of two first order streams forms a second order stream; the confluence of two second order streams forms a third order stream; etc. Generally, larger order streams (such as the Ohlo or Mississippi Rivers) have more volume, depth and channel width. They also are located in the lower reaches of watersheds. First order streams (unforked or unbranched streams) are in the upper reaches of watersheds.

Air Reso	urce Concern Areas
	% of
	Watershed
<u>Boone</u>	4.21
<u>Brown</u>	0.00
Clinton	0.00
Delaware	10.03
<u>Hamilton</u>	14.61
<u>Hancock</u>	9.71
<u>Hendricks</u>	8.00
<u>Henry</u>	0.00
<u>Johnson</u>	4.70
Madison	15.60
<u>Marion</u>	13.07
Monroe	0.00
<u>Morgan</u>	12.45
Owen	0.00
Randolph	0.00
<u>Tipton</u>	0.00
Totals	92.37

Data Source = Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, data no longer published. 2007 data is available

http://www.epa.gov/air/data/nonat.html?st~IN~India na>.

Unique	Habitat Areas	

..

	T & E Species Known	nge of Communitie Γ&Ε (Ac.)	
--	---------------------	--------------------------------	--

196,037 11.13 1,673 62,284 3.54 **Data Source** (Threatened & Endangered Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana

Data Source (Threatened & Endangered Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Nature Preserves; Analysis by NRCS, 2007, data source is not public. Habitat ranges indicate the likely life-history range surrounding known locations of threatened & endangered species (state and federal listed) that have the potential to be used by the species (ranges for plants = point - 0 miles; amphibians/reptiles/insects/aquatic species = ¼ - ½ mile; mammals/birds = 1 mile).

Data Source (Natural Communities) = Areas identified and classified by the IDNR as unique/rare (data include the Natural Community acreage + ¼ mile buffer), data not published.

Data Source (Permanent Easements) = Indiana NRCS (Wetlands Reserve Program), 2007, data not published

Farm Census Data											
	Farms	Farms <10 Ac.	Farms <50 Ac.	Farms <180 Ac.	Farms <500 Ac.	Farms <1000 Ac.	Farms >1000 Ac.	Minority Farmers	Full Time Farmers	Part Time Farmers	
<u>Boone</u>	181	31	57	34	23	18	18	1	27	81	
<u>Brown</u>	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	
Clinton	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	
<u>Delaware</u>	474	56	174	101	79	31	33	2	64	199	
<u>Hamilton</u>	726	140	277	137	98	43	31	17	88	336	
<u>Hancock</u>	78	13	27	16	10	5	6	2	11	36	
<u>Hendricks</u>	384	49	161	82	41	23	26	1	60	170	
<u>Henry</u>	189	20	69	51	24	15	10	2	28	77	
<u>Johnson</u>	228	29	93	45	29	18	14	3	33	96	
<u>Madison</u>	761	101	243	176	95	77	68	7	130	300	
<u>Marion</u>	265	93	105	40	18	4	4	4	36	103	
<u>Monroe</u>	23	2	7	10	3	1	0	0	4	10	
<u>Morgan</u>	572	71	255	155	47	21	22	8	74	290	
Owen	25	1	7	11	4	1	1	0	2	12	
<u>Randolph</u>	273	23	72	63	57	35	23	3	47	120	
<u>Tipton</u>	174	15	34	39	39	25	22	3	24	69	
Totals	4,362	645	1,585	963	568	318	279	53	630	1,903	

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service 2002 Census of Agriculture (http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/census02/volume1/in/index2.htm). Estimates for each watershed were derived from county values based on the percentage of each county in the watershed.

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number

NRCS Practices

Year:	Vegetative Agronomic Practices (Ac.)	No Till (Ac.)	Mulch Till (Ac.)	Upland Buffers (Ft.)	Aquatic Buffers (Ac.)	Grazing Practices (Ac.)	Nutrient Mgt. (Ac.)	Pest Mgt. (Ac.)	Irrigation (Ac.)	CNMPs (#)	Gully Erosion Control (Ac.)	Gully Control Structures (#)	Wildlife Habitat (Ac.)	Forestry Practices (Ac.)	Confined Livestock Waste Storage (#)	Wetland Practices (Ac.)
2007	406	5,559	5,531	15,069	87	221	4,230	4,084	0	2	69	3	1,287	115	0	6
2006	0	868	16	0	0	183	0	3,272	Ŏ	ō	0	ŏ	580	91	0	92
2005	0	1,245	3,030	6,488	44	231	0	2,943	0	24	0	0	206	32	0	1
2004	0	1,667	2,033	11	18	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	151	0	0
2003	0	1,664	862	958,633	291	114	0	3,780	0	0	0	0	1,002	68	0	45
2002	0	2.787	3.857	85,832	404	18	0	5,355	0	1	0	0	718	161	0	0

Data Source = NRCS Performance Results System Reports, 2007, http://ias.sc.eqov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx.

Vegetative Agronomic Practices = Acres of Conservation Cover (327) + 342 (Critical Area Planting) + 340 (Cover Crops) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Upland Buffers = Feet of Field Border (386) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) + Hedgerow Planting (422) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Aquatic Buffers = Acres of Filter Strips (393) + Riparian Forest Buffers (391) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Grazing Practices = Acres of Prescribed Grazing (528 and 528A) + Pasture and Hayland Planting (512) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Nutrient Mgmt = Acres of Nutrient Management (590) + Waste Utilization (633) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Pest Mgmt = Acres of Pest Management (595) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Irrigation = Acres of Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441) + Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) + Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443) + Irrigation Water Management (449) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

CNMPs = Number of Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans written in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - grassed waterways = Acres of Grassed Waterway (412) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - other = Acres of Grade Stabilization Structure (410) + Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wildlife habitat = Acres of Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) + Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (647) + Restoration and Management (647) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Forestry Practices = Acres of Tree/Shrub Establishment (612) + Forest Stand Improvement (666) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Confined Livestock Waste Storage Facilities = Number of Waste Storage Facility (313) + Composting Facility (317) + Waste Treatment Lagoon (359) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wetland Practices = Acres of Wetland Restoration (657) + Wetland Creation (658) + Wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

% = Percent T & E = Threatened and Endangered CFO = Confined Feeding Operation CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units Ft. = Feet

= Number

Ac. = Acres